arXiv:1210.5767v1 [math.QA] 21 Oct 2012

On finite-dimensional representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebras

Naihuan Jing and Honglian Zhang*

Abstract. A notion of Drinfeld polynomials is introduced for modules of two-parameter quantum affine algebras. Finite dimensional representations are then characterized by sets of l-tuples of pairs of Drinfeld polynomials with certain conditions.

1. Introduction

Quantum groups were introduced independently by V. G. Drinfeld [**D1**] and M. Jimbo [**Jb**] in 1985 using Chevalley generators and Serre relations. A few years later, Drinfeld [**D2**] found the second definition of quantum affine algebras and Yangians in terms of root vectors and introduced certain polynomials to characterize finite irreducible representations of Yangians. He showed that and the Yangian representations are finite dimensional if and only if their Drinfeld polynomials are of certain form. Later Chari and Pressley [**CP1**]-[**CP3**] generalized the notion of Drinfeld polynomials to quantum affine algebras and proved similar results for finite dimensional representations of both untwisted and twisted quantum enveloping algebras. Drinfeld polynomials are also related to Frenkel-Rechetikhin characters [**FR**] of finite dimensional irreducible representations.

Two-parameter quantum enveloping algebras are generalization of (one-parameter) quantum enveloping algebras. The original examples are introduced as generalization of noncommutative Hopf algebras [**T, J, Do**] with close connections with Yang-Baxter equations [**R**]. They were first defined in general for finite types using specific forms of Cartan matrices [**BW1, BW2**] [**BGH1, BGH2**] [**BH, HS**]. The affine cases were introduced in [**HRZ**] using vertex representations, and the toroidal cases by the authors [**JZ1**] in the context of McKay correspondence. As in the one-parameter cases various combinatorial realizations for 2-parameter quantum affine algebras were given in [**JZ1, JZ2**], where the meaning of the second parameter was explained.

Motivated by Drinfeld's and Chari-Pressley's work, we study finite dimensional representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebras in this paper. We introduce Drinfeld polynomials for two-parameter quantum affine algebras and show

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 17B37, 81R50; Secondary 17B35.

Key words and phrases. Two-parameter quantum affine algebra, Drinfeld realization, evaluation representation, finite-dimensional representation.

* H.Zhang, Corresponding Author.

that they are again characterizing the finite irreducible representations. Although the theory is much expected, there are some new features in this situation. The most notable one is that there are in fact a pair of related two-parameter Drinfeld polynomials for each finite dimensional representation instead of one for the positive root vector and negative root vector. For completeness, we provide all proofs in the case of $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ which in turn implies the general cases. In particular we try to elaborate more for the cases when two-parameter quantum enveloping algebras have distinct features. Just as in the usual case, the two-parameter cases are essentially in one-to-one correspondence with the one-parameter quantum groups.

The article is arranged as follows. After a quick introduction of two-parameter quantum affine algebras in Section 2, we define the category of finite dimensional representations of 2-parameter quantum enveloping algebras in Section 3 and introduce the notion of Drinfeld polynomials. A general result is shown as in the one-parameter case. In Section 4 we study the case of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ in details and prove the existence of a pair of Drinfeld polynomials for each finite dimensional representation. Finally in Section 5 we discuss some specializations of two parameters.

2. Two-parameter quantum affine algebras

2.1 In this subsection, we recall the definition of two-parameter quantum algebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, developed in [**HRZ**], [**HZ1**] and [**HZ2**]. Here we let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be an untwisted affine Lie algebra.

Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(r, s)$ be a field of rational functions with two indeterminates r, s. Let Φ be the finite root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ with Π, a base of simple roots, which is a subset of a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with an inner product $(\, , \,)$. Let $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \cdots, \epsilon_n$ denote an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let δ denote the primitive imaginary root of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, and let θ be the highest root of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Define $\alpha_0 = \delta - \theta$, then $\Pi' = \{\alpha_i \mid i \in I_0\}$ is a basis of simple roots of the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$

We recall that the quantum number in two parameters is defined by

$$[n] = \frac{r^n - s^n}{r - s} = r^{n-1} + r^{n-2}s + \cdots + rs^{n-2} + s^{n-1},$$

and the Guassian numbers are defined similarly.

Definition 2.1. ([**HRZ**], [**HZ1**], [**HZ2**]) **Two-parameter quantum affine algebra** $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the unital associative algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ generated by the elements e_j, f_j, $\omega_j^{\pm 1}$, $\omega_j'^{\pm 1}$ $(j \in I_0 = \{0, \cdots, n\})$, satisfying the following relations:

$(\hat{R}1)$ $\omega_i \, \omega_i^{-1} = \omega_i' \, \omega_i'^{-1} = 1$, and

$$[\, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_j^{\pm 1} \,] = [\, \omega_i^{\pm 1}, \omega_j'^{\pm 1} \,] = [\, \omega_i'^{\pm 1}, \omega_j'^{\pm 1} \,] = 0.$$

$(\hat{R}2)$ For $i, j \in I_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_j \, e_i \, \omega_j^{-1} &= \langle i, j \rangle \, e_i, & \omega_j \, f_i \, \omega_j^{-1} &= \langle i, j \rangle^{-1} \, f_i, \\ \omega_j' \, e_i \, \omega_j'^{-1} &= \langle j, i \rangle^{-1} \, e_i, & \omega_j' \, f_i \, \omega_j'^{-1} &= \langle j, i \rangle \, f_i, \end{aligned}$$

$(\hat{R}3)$ For $i, j \in I_0$, we have

$$[\, e_i, f_j \,] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r - s} (\omega_i - \omega_i').$$

$(\hat{R}4)$ For any $i \neq j$, we have the (r,s)-Serre relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\mathrm{ad}_l\, e_i\big)^{1-a_{ij}}\,(e_j) = 0,\\ \big(\mathrm{ad}_r\, f_i\big)^{1-a_{ij}}\,(f_j) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where the definitions of the left-adjoint action $\mathrm{ad}_l\, e_i$ and the right-adjoint action $\mathrm{ad}_r\, f_i$ are given in the following sense:

$$\mathrm{ad}_l\, a\,(b) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)}\, b\, S(a_{(2)}), \quad \mathrm{ad}_r\, a\,(b) = \sum_{(a)} S(a_{(1)})\, b\, a_{(2)}, \quad \forall\, a, b \in U_{r,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}),$$

where $\Delta(a) = \sum_{(a)} a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$ is given by proposition 2.3 below, and the structural constant $\langle i,\, j\rangle$ is the (i,j)-entry of the two-parameter quantum Cartan matrix J, given as follows respectively.

For type $\mathrm{A}_n^{(1)}$ with $n > 1$,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & s \\ s & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} \\ r^{-1} & 1 & 1 & \cdots & s & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $n = 1$,

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-1}s \\ r^{-1}s & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For type $\mathrm{B}_n^{(1)}$.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} & r^{-1} & \cdots & 1 & rs \\ rs & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & 1 & \cdots & s & r^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

For type $\mathrm{C}_n^{(1)}$.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & rs \\ s & r^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{-\frac{1}{2}} & r^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & r^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{-\frac{1}{2}} & r^{-1} \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & 1 & \cdots & s & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For type $\mathrm{D}_n^{(1)}$.

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} & r^{-1} & \cdots & 1 & (rs)^2 \\ rs & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & rs^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} \\ (rs)^{-2} & 1 & 1 & \cdots & rs & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For type $\mathrm{E}_6^{(1)}$

$$J=\begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} & r^{-2}s^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} & rs & rs & rs \\ rs & rs^{-1} & 1 & r^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ rs^2 & 1 & rs^{-1} & 1 & r^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ rs & s & 1 & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & s & s & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & s & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & s & rs^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

For type $\mathrm{F}_4^{(1)}$,

$$J=\begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-2}s^{-1} & (rs)^{-1} & rs & rs \\ rs^2 & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 & 1 \\ rs & s & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} & 1 \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & s & r^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{-\frac{1}{2}} & r^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ (rs)^{-1} & 1 & 1 & s^{\frac{1}{2}} & r^{\frac{1}{2}}s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

For type $\mathrm{G}_2^{(1)}$,

$$J=\begin{pmatrix} rs^{-1} & r^{-2}s^{-1} & rs \\ rs^2 & rs^{-1} & r^{-1} \\ (rs)^{-1} & s & r^{\frac{1}{3}}s^{-\frac{1}{3}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Remark 2.2. In [**JZ1, JZ2**] the Fock space realization of two-parametr quantum affine algebras of types A and C were given in terms of Young tableaux. The combinatorial model shows that the parameters r and s correspond roughly the scalars in the insertion and removing operators. The following fact is straightforward.

Proposition 2.3. *(*[**HRZ**], [**HZ1**], [**HZ2**]*) Two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U=U_{r,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is a Hopf algebra with the coproduct Δ, the counit ε and the antipode S defined below: for $i\in I_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) &= \omega_i^{\pm 1}\otimes\omega_i^{\pm 1}, & \Delta({\omega'_i}^{\pm 1}) &= {\omega'_i}^{\pm 1}\otimes{\omega'_i}^{\pm 1}, \\ \Delta(e_i) &= e_i\otimes 1+\omega_i\otimes e_i, & \Delta(f_i) &= 1\otimes f_i+f_i\otimes\omega'_i, \\ \varepsilon(\omega_i^{\pm}) &= \varepsilon({\omega'_i}^{\pm 1})=1, & \varepsilon(e_i) &= \varepsilon(f_i)=0, \\ S(\omega_i^{\pm 1}) &= \omega_i^{\mp 1}, & S({\omega'_i}^{\pm 1}) &= {\omega'_i}^{\mp 1}, \\ S(e_i) &= -\omega_i^{-1}e_i, & S(f_i) &= -f_i\,{\omega'_i}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

2.2 As is well-known, quantum affine algebras have another realization called Drinfeld realization [**D2**]. For two-parameter cases, there also exists analogous realization isomorphic to the algebra structure defined in definition 2.1 ([**HRZ, HZ1, HZ2**]).

Definition 2.4. ([**HRZ**], [**HZ1**], [**HZ2**]) The unital associative algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ over $\mathbb{K}$ is generated by the elements $x_i^{\pm}(k)$, $a_i(\ell)$, $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, ${\omega'_i}^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$, ${\gamma'}^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$, $(i\in I=\{1,\,2,\,\cdots,n\}$, $k,\,k'\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\ell,\,\ell'\in\mathbb{Z}\backslash\{0\})$, subject to the following defining relations:

(D1) $\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}},\ \gamma'^{\,\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ are central such that $\gamma\gamma' = rs,\ \omega_i\,\omega_i^{-1} = \omega_i'\,\omega_i'^{\,-1} = 1$, and for $i,\, j \in I$, one has

$$[\,\omega_i^{\pm1}, \omega_j^{\pm1}\,] = [\,\omega_i^{\pm1}, \omega_j'^{\,\pm1}\,] = [\,\omega_i'^{\pm1}, \omega_j'^{\,\pm1}\,] = 0.$$

$$\text{(D2)}\qquad [\,a_i(\ell), a_j(\ell')\,] = \delta_{\ell+\ell',0}\frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}}(r_is_i)^{-\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}}[\,\ell a_{ij}\,]_i}{|\ell|}\cdot\frac{\gamma^{|\ell|}-\gamma'^{|\ell|}}{r-s},$$

$$\text{(D3)}\qquad [\,a_i(\ell),\ \omega_j^{\pm1}\,] = [\ a_i(\ell),\ \omega'^{\pm1}_j\,] = 0.$$

$$\text{(D4)}\qquad \omega_i\,x_j^{\pm}(k)\,\omega_i^{-1} = \langle\omega_j', \omega_i\rangle^{\pm1}x_j^{\pm}(k), \qquad \omega_i'\,x_j^{\pm}(k)\,\omega_i'^{\,-1} = \langle\omega_i', \omega_j\rangle^{\mp1}x_j^{\pm}(k).$$

$$\text{(D5}_1\text{)}\qquad [\,a_i(\ell), x_j^{\pm}(k)\,] = \pm\frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}}(\langle i,i\rangle^{\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}}-\langle i,i\rangle^{\frac{-\ell a_{ij}}{2}})}{\ell(r_i-s_i)}\gamma'^{\pm\frac{\ell}{2}}x_j^{\pm}(\ell{+}k), \quad \textit{for}\quad \ell>0,$$

(D5$_2$)

$$[\,a_i(\ell), x_j^{\pm}(k)\,] = \pm\frac{(rs)^{\frac{|\ell|}{2}}(\langle i,i\rangle^{\frac{\ell a_{ij}}{2}}-\langle i,i\rangle^{\frac{-\ell a_{ij}}{2}})}{\ell(r_i-s_i)}\gamma^{\pm\frac{\ell}{2}}x_j^{\pm}(\ell{+}k), \qquad \textit{for}\quad \ell<0,$$

$$\text{(D6)}\qquad \begin{aligned} &x_i^{\pm}(k{+}1)\,x_j^{\pm}(k') - \langle j,i\rangle^{\pm1}x_j^{\pm}(k')\,x_i^{\pm}(k{+}1)\\ &= -\Big(\langle j,i\rangle\langle i,j\rangle^{-1}\Big)^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}\Big(x_j^{\pm}(k'{+}1)\,x_i^{\pm}(k) - \langle i,j\rangle^{\pm1}x_i^{\pm}(k)\,x_j^{\pm}(k'{+}1)\Big).\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(D7)}\qquad [\,x_i^{+}(k),\ x_j^{-}(k')\,] = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r_i-s_i}\Big(\gamma'^{-k}\,\gamma^{-\frac{k+k'}{2}}\,\omega_i(k{+}k') - \gamma^{k'}\,\gamma'^{\frac{k+k'}{2}}\,\omega_i'(k{+}k')\Big),$$

where $\omega_i(m)$, $\omega_i'(-m)$ $(m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq0})$ such that $\omega_i(0)=\omega_i$ and $\omega_i'(0)=\omega_i'$ are defined as below:

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\omega_i(m)z^{-m} = \omega_i\exp\Big((r_i{-}s_i)\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}a_i(\ell)z^{-\ell}\Big), \quad \big(\omega_i(-m)=0,\ \forall\, m>0\big);\\ &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\omega_i'(-m)z^{m} = \omega_i'\exp\Big(-(r_i{-}s_i)\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}a_i(-\ell)z^{\ell}\Big), \quad \big(\omega_i'(m)=0,\ \forall\, m>0\big).\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(D8}_1\text{)}\qquad x_i^{\pm}(m)x_j^{\pm}(k) = \langle j,i\rangle^{\pm1}x_j^{\pm}(k)x_i^{\pm}(m), \qquad \text{for}\quad a_{ij}=0,$$

(D8$_2$)

$$\begin{aligned}&Sym_{m_1,\cdots m_n}\textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{n=1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k(r_is_i)^{\pm\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1{-}a_{ij}\\ k\end{bmatrix}_{\pm i}x_i^{\pm}(m_1)\cdots x_i^{\pm}(m_k)x_j^{\pm}(\ell)\\ &\qquad\times x_i^{\pm}(m_{k+1})\cdots x_i^{\pm}(m_n)=0, \quad \text{for}\quad a_{ij}\neq0, \quad 1\leq j<i<n,\end{aligned}$$

(D8$_3$)

$$\begin{aligned}&Sym_{m_1,\cdots m_n}\textstyle\sum_{k=0}^{n=1-a_{ij}}(-1)^k(r_is_i)^{\mp\frac{k(k-1)}{2}}\begin{bmatrix}1{-}a_{ij}\\ k\end{bmatrix}_{\mp i}x_i^{\pm}(m_1)\cdots x_i^{\pm}(m_k)x_j^{\pm}(\ell)\\ &\qquad\times x_i^{\pm}(m_{k+1})\cdots x_i^{\pm}(m_n)=0, \quad \text{For}\quad a_{ij}\neq0, \quad 1\leq i<j<n.\end{aligned}$$

where $[l]_{\pm i}$, $[l]_{\mp i}$ are defined the same as before by replacing r, s by r_i, s_i respectively, $Sym_{m_1,\cdots,m_n}$ denotes symmetrization w.r.t. the indices $(m_1,\cdots,m_n)$.

Similar to the classical case the Poincare- Birkhoff-Witt theorem also holds [**HRZ**].

Let $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$ denote the subalgebra of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}})$, generated by $x_i^+(0)$ $(i \in I)$. By definition, it is clear that $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n}) \cong U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{n})$, the subalgebra of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by e_i $(i \in I)$.

The algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ has a triangular decomposition:

$$\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^-) \otimes \mathcal{U}^0_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}),$$

where $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \dot{Q}^\pm} \mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widetilde{\mathfrak{n}}^\pm)_\alpha$ is generated respectively by $x_i^\pm(k)$ $(i \in I)$, and $\mathcal{U}^0_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the subalgebra generated by $\omega_i^{\pm 1}$, $\omega_i'^{\pm 1}$, $\gamma^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $\gamma'^{\pm \frac{1}{2}}$, $D^{\pm 1}$, $D'^{\pm 1}$ and $a_i(\pm \ell)$ for $i \in I$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, $\mathcal{U}^0_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is generated by the toral subalgebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})^0$ and the quantum Heisenberg subalgebra $\mathcal{H}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ generated by the quantum imaginary root vectors $a_i(\pm \ell)$ $(i \in I,\ \ell \in \mathbb{N})$.

2.3 In this subsection, we give some automorphisms of the two-parameter quantum affine algebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Their properties can be verified directly.

Proposition 2.5. *For $I-$tuples $\sigma = (\sigma_0, \cdots, \sigma_n) \in \{\pm 1\}^{n+1}$, there exists an unique automorphism a_σ of two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that*

$$a_\sigma(\omega_i) = \sigma_i\, \omega_i, \qquad a_\sigma(\omega_i') = \sigma_i\, \omega_i',$$
$$a_\sigma(e_i) = \sigma_i\, e_i, \qquad a_\sigma(f_i) = f_i.$$

Proposition 2.6. *There exists an automorphism Γ_1 of two-parameter quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that*

$$\Gamma_1(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = -\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \Gamma_1(\gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = -\gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \Gamma_1(x_i^\pm(k)) = (-1)^k x_i^\pm(k)$$
$$\Gamma_1(a_i(k)) = a_i(k), \qquad \Gamma_1(\omega_i) = \omega_i, \qquad \Gamma_1({\omega'}_i) = \omega_i'.$$

Proposition 2.7. *For $a \in \mathbb{C}*$, there exists an unique automorphism Γ_2 of two-parameter quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that*

$$\Gamma_2(\gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = \gamma^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \Gamma_2(\gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}) = \gamma'^{\pm\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \Gamma_2(x_i^\pm(k)) = a^k x_i^\pm(k)$$
$$\Gamma_2(\omega_i(k)) = a^k \omega_i(k), \qquad \Gamma_2(\omega_i'(k)) = a^k \omega_i'(k), \qquad \Gamma_2(\omega_i) = \omega_i, \qquad \Gamma_2({\omega'}_i) = \omega_i'.$$

3. Finite-dimensional representations of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$

3.1 In this subsection, we study finite-dimensional representation theory of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ analogue to the one-parameter situation ([**CP1**]-[**CP3**]). For finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}$ the highest weight representations of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ have been discussed in [**BW2**] and [**BGH2**].

Let P be the weight lattice of the simple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and W be a representation of $U_{r,\,s}(\mathfrak{g})$. We say $\lambda \in P$ is a weight of W, if the weight space

$$W_\lambda = \{w \in W \,|\, \omega_i w = \langle \omega_\lambda, \omega_i \rangle w,\ \omega_i' w = \langle \omega_i, \omega_\lambda \rangle^{-1} w,\ \forall i\} \neq 0.$$

Here we have extended the definition of $\langle\ \rangle$ from $\lambda \in Q$ to $\lambda \in P$ via appropriate half-integer powers when necessary. A representation W of $U_{r,\,s}(\mathfrak{g})$ is said to be of type 1, if it is the direct sum of its weight spaces, that is,

$$W = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in wt(W)} W_\lambda,$$

where $wt(W)$ is the set of weight of W.

A non-zero vector $w \in W_\lambda$ is called a highest weight vector if $e_i \cdot w = 0$ for all $i \in I$, and W is a highest weight representation with highest weight λ if $W = U_{r,\,s}(\mathfrak{g})w$ for some highest weight vector $w \in W_\lambda$. Any highest weight representation is of type 1.

We now turn to the representation theory of $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$. A representation V of $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is of type 1 if $\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}}$ act as the identity on V, and if V is of type 1 as a representation of $U_{r,\,s}(\mathfrak{g})$. A vector $v \in V$ is a highest weight vector if

$$x_i^+(k) \cdot v = 0,\, \omega_i(m) \cdot v = \Phi^+_{i\,m} v,\, \omega'_i(-m) \cdot v = \Phi^-_{i\,-m} v,\, \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot v = v,\, \gamma'^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot v = v,$$

for some complex number $\Phi^{\pm}_{i\,\pm m}$. A type 1 representation V is a highest weight representation if $V = U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})v$ for some highest weight vector v, and Let $\epsilon = \pm = \pm 1$, the pair of $(I \times \mathbb{Z})$-tuples $(\Phi^\epsilon_{i,\,\epsilon m})_{i\in I,\, m\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$ is called the highest weight of V.

The following result can be proved similarly as in the one-parameter case.

Proposition 3.1. *If V is a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, then*

(1) V can be obtained from a type 1 representation by twisting with a product of an automorphism a_σ.

(2) If V is of type 1, then V is highest weight.

3.2 In this subsection. we give the the main results on the finite-dimensional representation of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$. Though the results are similar to the one-parameter case, they also carry some different aspects for the two-parameter case.

If $\lambda \in P^+$, let $\mathcal{P}^\lambda$ be the set of I-tuples $(P_i)_{i\in I}$ of polynomials $P_i \in \mathbb{C}[u]$, with constant term 1, such that $deg(P_i) = \lambda(i)$ for all $i \in I$. Set $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\limits_{\lambda\in P^+} \mathcal{P}^\lambda$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathbf{\Phi}^\epsilon = (\mathbf{\Phi}^\epsilon_{\mathbf{i},\,\epsilon\mathbf{m}})_{\mathbf{in}\in\mathbf{I},\,\mathbf{m}\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a pair of $(I \times \mathbb{Z})$-tuples of complex numbers, then the irreducible representation $V(\mathbf{\Phi},\, \mathbf{\Psi})$ of $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ is finite-dimensional if and only if there exists $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P_i})_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}} \in \mathcal{P}$ such that*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \Phi^\epsilon_{i,\,\epsilon m} z^{\epsilon m} = r_i^{deg(P_i)} \frac{P_i\big((rs)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2} deg(P_i)} s_i z\big)}{P_i\big((rs)^{\frac{1-\epsilon}{2} deg(P_i)} r_i z\big)}$$

in the sense that the positive and the negative terms are the Laurent expansions of the middle term about 0 and ∞, respectively.

Proof. We will discuss the case of $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ in next section. Assuming the result is true for $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, we can argue the general case easily. First of all, the necessary condition (the "only if" part) is trivial. Now suppose that there exists a family of polynomials $\mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P_i})_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathbf{I}} \in \mathcal{P}$ satisfying the condition in the theorem. For each $i \in I$, as a module for the ith copy $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, the existence of Drinfeld polynomials implies that for each $i \in I$ the span of irreducible ith $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$-module is finite dimensional. However, as in the case of quantum affine algebras, the collection of these spans are exactly the whole module for $U_{r,\,s}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$, so it is also finite dimensional. □

Assigning to V the n-tuple P defines a bijection between the set of isomorphism classes of finite dimensional irreducible representation of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of type 1 and P. We denote the finite-dimensional irreducible representation of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ associated to $\mathbf{P}$ by $V(\mathbf{P})$, and we say that $\mathbf{P}$ its highest weight.

REMARK 3.3. For each $i \in I$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we can define the irreducible representation $V_{w_i}(a)$ as $V(P_a^{(i)})$, where $P_a^{(i)} = (P_1, \cdots, P_n)$ is the n-tuple of polynomials, such that $P_i(u) = 1 - au$, $P_j(u) = 1$, for all $j \neq i$. We call $V_{w_i}(a)$ the ith fundamental representation of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let* $\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{P}$ *be as above, Let* $v_{\mathbf{P}}$ *and* $v_{\mathbf{Q}}$ *be highest weight vector of* $V(\mathbf{P})$ *and* $V(\mathbf{Q})$*, respectively. If* $\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ *denotes the* $I-$*tuple* $(P_iQ_i)_{i\in I}$. *Then* $V(\mathbf{P} \otimes \mathbf{Q})$ *is isomorphic to a quotient of the submodule of* $V(\mathbf{P}) \otimes \mathbf{V}(\mathbf{Q})$ *generated by the tensor product of the highest weight vectors* $v_{\mathbf{P}}$ *and* $v_{\mathbf{Q}}$.

COROLLARY 3.5. Any finite dimensional irreducible module of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ of type 1 is isomorphic to an quotient of the submodule of a tensor product of fundamental representations.

3.3 Similar to the one-parameter case, for any representation V of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$, we can decompose V into a direct sum $V = \oplus V_{\gamma^{\pm}_{i,m}}$, where

$$V_{\gamma^{\pm}_{i,m}} = \{x \in V | (\Phi^{\pm}_{i,\pm m} - \gamma^{\pm}_{i,m})^p \cdot x = 0, \text{for some p}, \forall i,\ m\}.$$

Given a collection $(\gamma^{\pm}_{i,m})$ of eigenvalues, we form the generating functions

$$\gamma_i^{\pm}(u) = \sum_{m>0} \gamma^{\pm}_{i,\pm m} u^{\pm m}.$$

The following result is an analogous one for one-parameter case [**FR**].

PROPOSITION 3.6. *The generating functions* $\gamma_i^{\pm}(u)$ *of eigenvalues on any finite dimensional representation of* $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$ *have the form*

$$\gamma_i^{\pm}(u) = r_i^{degR_i - \frac{degQ_i}{2}} s_i^{\frac{degQ_i}{2}} \frac{R_i(us_i)Q_i(ur_i)}{R_i(ur_i)Q_i(us_i)}$$

as elements of $\mathbb{C}[[u]]$ *and* $\mathbb{C}[[u^{-1}]]$*, respectively, where* $Q_i(u), R_i(u)$ *are polynomials in* u.

4. The case of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$

4.1 We recall the evaluation map of the two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ in this subsection and give necessary computations, see [**ZP**] for more details in this case. As in the one-parameter case, $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ plays a similar role for the general theory. We also see that there are lots of similarity with the usual case, for completeness we still provide detailed analysis as an example for the general two-parameter quantum affine algebras.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *For any* $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$*, there exists an algebra morphism* ev_a *from* $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ *to* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *defined as follows:*

$$\mathrm{ev}_a(e_0) = r^{-1}sa\, f, \quad \mathrm{ev}_a(f_0) = rs^{-1}a^{-1}\, e, \quad \mathrm{ev}_a(e_1) = e, \quad \mathrm{ev}_a(f_1) = f,$$

$$\mathrm{ev}_a(\omega_0) = \omega', \quad \mathrm{ev}_a(\omega_1) = \omega, \quad \mathrm{ev}_a(\omega_0') = \omega, \quad \mathrm{ev}_a(\omega_1') = \omega'.$$

Using the Drinfeld isomorphism theorem, now we can lift the evaluation morphism ev_a to its Drinfeld realization $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, we also denote it by ev_a without ambiguity.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *There exists an algebra morphism* ev_a *from* $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ *to* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *defined as follows:*

$$\mathrm{ev}_a(\gamma)=1=\mathrm{ev}_a(\gamma'),\quad \mathrm{ev}_a(\omega)=\omega,\quad \mathrm{ev}_a(\omega')=\omega',$$
$$\mathrm{ev}_a(x^+(k))=r^{-k}s^ka^k\omega'^{-k}e,\quad \mathrm{ev}_a(x^-(k))=r^{-k}s^ka^kf\omega^k.$$

Similar to the one-parameter case, we can define the evaluation representation of $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ as follows:

DEFINITION 4.3. For $a\in\mathbb{C}*$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we call these $V_n(a)$ evaluation representations of quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$.

We recall the representation of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$, see [**BW2**] and [**BGH2**] for more detail. For the representation V_n of $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ of dimension n+1, there exists a basis $v_0,\, v_1,\, \cdots,\, v_n$ of V_n such that

$$\omega\cdot v_i=r^n(rs^{-1})^{-i}v_i,\qquad \omega'\cdot v_i=s^n(rs^{-1})^iv_i,$$
$$e\cdot v_i=[n+1-i]v_{i-1},\qquad f\cdot v_i=[i+1]v_{i+1}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.4. *As a vector space,* $V_n(a)$ *is nothing but* V_n*, and the action of* $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ *is given by:*

$$x^+(k)\cdot v_i=a^ks^{-nk}(rs^{-1})^{-ki}[n+1-i]\,v_{i-1},$$
$$x^-(k)\cdot v_i=a^kr^{nk}(rs^{-1})^{-k(i+1)}[i+1]\,v_{i+1}.$$

A vector $v\in V$ is a highest weight vector if for $l\in\mathbb{Z}$, $k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geqslant0}$, we have

$$x^+(l)\cdot v=0,\quad a(k)\cdot v=d_k^+v,\quad a(-k)\cdot v=d_{-k}^-v,\quad \gamma\cdot v=\gamma'\cdot v=1$$

for some complex numbers Φ_k^+ and Φ_{-k}^-. Note that $\Phi_0^+\Phi_0^-$ is the powers of (rs). We will show that evaluation representations $V_n(a)$ for $a\in\mathbb{C}^*$ are highest weight representations.

It is easy to see from the above proposition that v_0 is annihilated by all $x^+(k)$. The actions of ω_0 and ω_0' can be easily computed

$$\omega_0\cdot v_0=r^nv_0,\qquad \omega_0'\cdot v_0=s^nv_0.$$

In general, we have

PROPOSITION 4.5. *All finite dimensional irreducible representations of* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *are of highest weight.*

THEOREM 4.6. *(1) Let V be a finite dimensional highest weight representation of* $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$*, and as an evaluation module for* $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ *there exists a polynomial* $P(z)\in\mathbb{C}[z]$ *such that* $P(0)\neq0$ *and*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Phi_k^+z^k=r^{degP}\frac{P(sz)}{P(rz)},$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Phi_{-k}^-z^{-k}=r^{degP}\frac{Q(sz)}{Q(rz)},$$

where $Q(z)=P((rs)^{degP}z)$ *.*

(2) For any series of complex number $\underline{\Phi} = (\Phi_k^+, \Phi_{-k}^-)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ *such that* $\Phi_o^+\Phi_0^- = (rs)^n$ *for some integer* n*, there exists a finite dimensional irreducible highest weight module* $V(\underline{\Phi})$.

The polynomial $P(z)$ in the theorem is called Drinfel'd polynomial. And the following proposition is an example the above theorem.

PROPOSITION 4.7. *For the evaluation representation* $V_n(a)$ *of* $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$*, we obtain the Drinfel'd polynomial as follows.*

$$P(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(1 - ar^{-k-1}s^{k-n}z).$$

PROOF. We first have

$$\Phi_k^+ = (r-s)(ar^{-1}s^{1-n})^k[n]$$

and

$$\Phi_{-k}^- = -(r-s)(a^{-1}r^{1-n}s^{-1})^k[n],$$

where $[n] = \frac{r^n - s^n}{r-s}$.

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Phi_k^+ z^k &= r^n + \sum_{k=1}^{n}(r^n - s^n)\frac{ar^{-1}s^{1-n}z}{1 - ar^{-1}s^{1-n}z} \\
&= r^n\frac{1 - a(r^{-1}s)r^{-n}z}{1 - a(r^{-1}s)s^{-n}z} \\
&= r^n\left(\frac{1 - a(r^{-1}s)^2 s^{-n}z}{1 - a(r^{-1}s)s^{-n}z}\right)\left(\frac{1 - a(r^{-1}s)^3 s^{-n}z}{1 - a(r^{-1}s)^2 s^{-n}z}\right)\cdots\left(\frac{1 - a(r^{-1}s)^{n+1}s^{-n}z}{1 - a(r^{-1}s)^n s^{-n}z}\right) \\
&= r^n\frac{P(sz)}{P(rz)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $P(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(1 - a(r^{-1}s)^k r^{-1}s^{-n}z)$.

Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Phi_{-k}^- z^{-k} = r^{degP}\frac{Q(sz)}{Q(rz)},$$

where $Q(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n}(1 - a(r^{-1}s)^k r^{n-1}z) = P((rs)^n z)$.

□

The following proposition gives the Drinfeld polynomials for all evaluation representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebra $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$.

PROPOSITION 4.8. *For the evaluation representation* $W_n(a) = V_n(rs^{-1}a)$ *of two-parameter quantum affine algebra* $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$*, we can obtain the eigenvalues* $\Phi_{k,j}$ *in the above proposition 3.6. as follows:*

$$\sum_{m>0}\Phi_{i,\pm m}^{\pm}u^{\pm m} = r^{degR_i - \frac{degQ_i}{2}}s^{\frac{degQ_i}{2}}\frac{R_i(us)Q_i(ur)}{R_i(ur)Q_i(us)}$$

where

$$Q_i(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}(1-ar^{-j}s^{j-n-1}u)(1-ar^{1-j}s^{j-n-2}u),$$

$$R_i(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1-ar^{-j}s^{j-n-1}u).$$

Proof. It is easy to see that $W_n(a)$ admits a linear space decomposed as $U_{r,s}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)-$module as follows:

$$W_n(a)=\mathbb{C}v_0\oplus\mathbb{C}v_1\oplus\cdots\oplus\mathbb{C}v_n.$$

It follows from proposition 4.4 that

$$x^+(k)\cdot v_i=a^k s^{-nk}(rs^{-1})^{-ki}[n+1-i]\,v_{i-1},$$

$$x^-(k)\cdot v_i=a^k r^{nk}(rs^{-1})^{-k(i+1)}[i+1]\,v_{i+1}.$$

So by direct calculation, we get,

$$\begin{aligned}&\omega(k)\cdot v_i\\ =\;&(r-s)a^k s^{-nk}(rs^{-1})^{-ki}(rs^{-1})^k\big((rs^{-1})^{-k}[i+1][n-i]-[n+1-i][i]\big)\cdot v_i\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\Phi^+_{i,k}u^k\\ =\;& r^n(rs^{-1})^{-i}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(r-s)a^k s^{-nk}(rs^{-1})^{-ki}(rs^{-1})^k\\ &\Big((rs^{-1})^{-k}[i+1][n-i]-[n+1-i][i]\Big)\\ =\;& r^n(rs^{-1})^{-i}+(r-s)\Big(\frac{ar^{-i}s^{i-n}u}{1-ar^{-i}s^{i-n}u}[i+1][n-i]\\ &\qquad-\frac{ar^{1-i}s^{i-n-1}u}{1-ar^{1-i}s^{i-n-1}u}[n+1-i][i]\Big)\\ =\;& r^{n-i}s^i\,\frac{(1-ars^{-n-1}u)(1-ar^{-n}u)}{(1-ar^{-i}s^{i-n}u)(1-ar^{1-i}s^{i-n-1}u)}\\ =\;& r^{n-i}s^i\,\frac{R_i(us)Q_i(ur)}{R_i(ur)Q_i(us)}\end{aligned}$$

where

$$Q_i(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}(1-ar^{-j}s^{j-n-1}u)(1-ar^{1-j}s^{j-n-2}u),$$

$$R_i(z)=\prod_{j=1}^{n}(1-ar^{-j}s^{j-n-1}u).$$

The another relation is similar. Thus we have completed the proof. □

5. Specializations

5.1 By our previous analysis of Drinfeld polynomials, the theory of finite dimensional representations of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ is quite similar to the classical case in the generic case when the parameters r and s are independent. When we consider specializations of the two parameters r and s, there are some special phenomena.

(I) If we specialize s to r^{-1}, then the quantum Cartan matrix of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} r^2 & r^{-2} \\ r^{-2} & r^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is the same to that of the classical case.

(II) If we specialize s to r, then we have $[n] = nr^{n-1}$, and the quantum Cartan matrix of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies the group-like elements ω and ω' are in the center of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$. On the other hand, from the actions of generators ω, ω':

$$\omega \cdot v_i = r^n v_i; \quad \omega' \cdot v_i = r^n v_i; \quad \omega_o \cdot v_i = r^n v_i; \quad \omega_0' \cdot v_i = r^n v_i,$$

they have the same eigenvalue r^n, while the finite dimensional representation V_n is still irreducible.

(III) If we specialize r to s^k, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}/\{1\}$, or r and s are independent, then we just let $q^2 = rs^{-1}$, quantum Cartan matrix of $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$ becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^2 & q^{-2} \\ q^{-2} & q^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the finite dimensional representation theory is similar to that of one-parameter case.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

N. Jing would like to thank the support of NSF grants and NSFC grant (No. 10728102). H. Zhang would like to thank the support of NSFC (No. 10801094) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (No. J50101).

References

[BGH1] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao, N. Hu, Drinfel'd doubles and Lusztig's symmetries of two-parameter quantum groups, *J. Algebra* **301** (2006) 378–405.

[BGH2] N. Bergeron, Y. Gao, N. Hu, Representations of two-parameter quantum orthogonal and symplectic groups, *AMS/IP Studies in Adv. Math., "Proc. of the Int. Conf. on Complex Geometry and Related Fields"*, **39** (2007) 1–21.

[BH] X. Bai, N. Hu, Two-parameter quantum groups of exceptional type E-series and convex PBW-type basis, *Algebra. Colloq.* **15** (2008) 619–636.

[BW1] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, Two-parameter quantum groups and Drinfel'd doubles, *Alg. Rep. Theory*, **7** (2004) 261–286.

[BW2] G. Benkart, S. Witherspoon, Representatons of two-parameter quantum groups and Schur-Weyl duality, *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, Dekker, New York, **237** (2004) 62–92.

[CP1] V. Chari, A. Pressley, Quantum Affine Algebras, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **1**42 (1991) 261-283.

[CP2] V. Chari, A. Pressley, Small representations of quantum affine algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **3**0 (1994) 131-145.

[CP3] V. Chari, A. Pressley, Quantum affine algebras and their representations, *Representations of groups (Banff, AB, 1994)*, CMS Conf. Proc, **16**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, (1995) 59-78.

[Do] V. K. Dobrev, Duality for the matrix quantum group $GL_{p,q}(2, C)$, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992) 3419–3430.

[D1] V. G. Drinfeld, Hopf algebras and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation. (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **283** (1985), 1060–1064; transl.: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **32** (1985), 254–258.

[D2] V. G. Drinfeld, A new realization of Yangians and of quantum affine algebras (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **296** (1987), 13–17; transl.: *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **36** (1988), 212–216

[HRZ] N. Hu, M. Rosso, H. Zhang, Two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_n})$, Drinfel'd realization and quantum affine Lyndon basis, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **278** (2008) 453–486.

[HS] N. Hu, Q. Shi, The two-parameter quantum group of exceptional type G_2 and Lusztig's symmetries, *Pacific J. Math.* **230** (2007) 327–345.

[HZ1] N. Hu, H. Zhang, Vertex representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$: the simply laced cases, (2008), Preprint.

[HZ2] N. Hu, H. Zhang, Vertex representations of two-parameter quantum affine algebras $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})$: the non-simply laced cases, (2008), Preprint.

[Jb] Jimbo, M., A q-difference analog of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and the Yang-Baxter equation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **10** (1985), 63–69.

[J] N. Jing, Quantum groups with two parameters, *Cont. Math.* **134** (1992) 129–138.

[JZ1] N. Jing, H. Zhang, Two-parameter quantum vertex representations via finite groups and the McKay correspondence, *Trans. AMS.*, **363** (2011) 3769-3797.

[JZ2] N. Jing, H. Zhang, Fermionic realization of two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(s\hat{l}_n)$, *Lett.Math. Phys.*, **89** (2009) 159-170.

[FR] E. Frenkel, N. Reshetikhin, The q-characters of representations of quantum affine algebras and deformations of W-algebras, Recent developments in quantum affine algebras and related topics (Raleigh, NC, 1998), 163–205, *Contemp. Math.*, **248**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[R] N. Reshetikhin, Multiparameter quantum groups and twisted quasitriangular Hopf algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** (1990), 331–335.

[T] Takeuchi, M., A two-parameter quantization of $GL(n)$, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **66** (1990), 112–114.

[ZP] Zhang, H., Pang, R., Two-parameter quantum affine algebra $U_{r,s}(\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2})$, *Alg. Colloq.*, to appear.

Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205, USA
E-mail address: `jing@math.ncsu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, China
E-mail address: `hlzhangmath@shu.edu.cn`